

DEDDINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of a Meeting held at the Windmill Centre, Deddington, on Wednesday 16 August 2006 at 7.45pm

Present: Cllrs Squires (Chair), Flux (Vice-Chair), Todd, Ince, Wood, Collins, Alton, Anderson, Westbury, Rudge.

In Attendance: Lorraine Watling (Clerk)

117/06	Apologies Apologies were received from Cllrs Privett, Rollason, Spencer and Watts.
118/06	Condolences Condolences were expressed for the friends and family of Mr Charles Newey, head of the Farmers Market, former parish councillor and major contributor to Deddington.
119/06	Minutes of the last meeting The following amendment was made to the Minutes of the meeting held on 19 July 2006: Minute 111/06 (1) the words ‘and traffic through the Market Square’ were deleted. Subject to this amendment the minutes were approved.
120/06	Declaration of Interests There were no general declarations of interest. During discussions about the LDF the following declarations of interest were recorded by members of the Parish Council: Cllr Anderson - site DE11 Cllr Westbury and Cllr Ince – site DE6 Cllr Wood – sites DE 1&2 Cllr Flux – DE8
121/06	The Local Development Framework Cllr Anderson presented an overview of the LDF, explained how it worked and what its implications were for the people in the parish of Deddington, Clifton and Hempton. There was then an open forum. The 140 people present at the meeting contributed a number of good ideas from the floor about the LDF. These were incorporated into the minutes. The PC agreed the following general points about the LDF that were later sent to CDC: 1. The PC was not even aware of the LDF Housing Technical Paper 2 until notified by a parishioner. This should have been sent to the PC

	<p>as a matter of course in order that it could pass accurate and complete information to Parishioners.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Parishioners were unhappy about the timing of this consultation exercise. It took place in the middle of the school holiday period and so many people who might have liked to comment were away on holiday. In addition there was only a short period in which to respond between the receipt of all relevant paperwork and the end of the consultation period. 3. Copies of the documents should have been sent to <u>all</u> libraries situated in the areas under discussion, not just the big ones. Many of these areas are remote from the larger libraries but more than adequately served locally. Deddington has its own library but did not receive a copy, therefore one of the Parish Councillors had to go to Bodicote House and physically buy a copy for parishioners to conveniently view - not everybody has computer access. 4. Parishioners found the web site confusing and hard to navigate, therefore this tool was less than ideal when seeking the views of the general public. <p>The four page, site specific, detailed response to CDC about the LDF can be viewed in the Parish office. This presents the reasons why each of the sites was deemed to be unsuitable.</p> <p>In conclusion, Deddington Parish Council conceded that it might be prepared to accept some development on sites 9 and 10 (it is impossible to access DE9 unless it is considered with DE10), and possibly 7 on the basis that these are the 'least worst' sites under consideration. As the Hempton Road cannot cope with any increases in traffic, access to site DE10 would have to be from the main Deddington/Banbury road opposite the Fire Station. The PC recommended that if such an access road was built, one of its corners should be allocated as an overflow car park for the village to off-set current parking problems. The PC would oppose any proposals to access the site through Gaveston Gardens and would also oppose any attempts to remove the belt of trees that are on the area in question.</p> <p>The Parish Plan analysis suggested that residents are not opposed to a limited number of new houses if these are affordable and available to local people. However all of these sites have development issues associated with them that require much further negotiation. The Parish Council would oppose any attempts to develop the other sites.</p>								
122/06	<p>Invoices A schedule of invoices totalling £3,762.30 was tabled, a copy of which is filed with these minutes, and was approved for payment.</p>								
123/06	<p>Investment of Council Funds At the close of business on 16th August 2006 the balances were as follows:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="352 1912 1343 1989"> <thead> <tr> <th>ACCOUNT NUMBER</th> <th>BALANCE</th> <th>INTEREST</th> <th>NOTICE</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>70708526</td> <td>720.98</td> <td>.1%</td> <td>Imprest</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	ACCOUNT NUMBER	BALANCE	INTEREST	NOTICE	70708526	720.98	.1%	Imprest
ACCOUNT NUMBER	BALANCE	INTEREST	NOTICE						
70708526	720.98	.1%	Imprest						

	70708542	6,044.42	.1%	Current
	W. Bromwich Building Soc.	298,709.76	4.45%	Overnight
	Alliance & Leicester	57,223.01	2.6%	30 Days
	Birmingham Midshires	200,000.00	5.13%	Fixed Bond
	TOTAL	560,698.17		
124/06	<p><u>AOB</u></p> <p>(a). Planning. Cllr Todd reported that a planning application had been received (06/01298/F) for a microlight flying school to be situated between Duns Tew and Hempton. The PC agreed that it would oppose this application on the grounds of environmental nuisance and traffic.</p> <p>(b). Minute Secretary. The Chair reported that the PC was still looking for a new minute secretary.</p>			
125/06	<p><u>Next Meeting</u></p> <p>The next meeting of the Parish Council will take place on Wednesday 20th September at 7.45pm at the Town Hall, Deddington.</p>			